Dear Alun,

I am writing in response to your letter of 16 January, in respect of the European Union (Withdrawal) Bill.

I was very disappointed that there were no agreed amendments to Clause 11 during Commons consideration, but I note your commitment to bring forward amendments in the House of Lords. It is of course essential that these amendments are agreed with the Welsh and Scottish Governments, and I know that discussions at official level are continuing.

I also note the Government amendments to clauses 7 and 10. I welcome the replacement of the requirement for consent of UK Ministers with a requirement for consultation in relation to the powers in clause 7, although I note that the same amendment has not been made in clauses 8 and 9, and I am not clear about the reasoning for the retention of these restrictions. (I am in any event aware of the House of Lords Constitution Committee’s recommendation that clause 9 be omitted from the Bill). I also welcome the amendments enabling Welsh Ministers to modify directly applicable EU law in areas of devolved competence, although I also note they are based on an assumption that Clause 11 will continue in its current form, which will not be acceptable to the Welsh Government or to the Assembly; the official level discussions must produce a better solution.

I have considered the specific questions in your letter in respect of the Committee stage amendments of the Bill. In respect of the statutory requirement to produce explanatory material alongside SIs made under the relevant powers in Schedule 2 of the Bill, the information envisaged to be included in the statements is material that we would expect to provide in any event. I therefore have no strong view as to whether such a requirement should be placed on the face of the Bill; but I am aware that the Constitution Committee has made further recommendations about the content of supportive explanatory material. If the UK Government is minded to accept these, I will need to consider the Welsh position afresh. I would be grateful to be kept informed of your developing thinking in respect of that.
recommendation, and in respect of the applicability of the requirements to SIs made under the joint procedure.

In respect of the requirement to submit negative resolution SIs to a ‘sifting’ committee, I consider that this is properly a matter for the National Assembly for Wales to determine, as is the applicability of this requirement to SIs made under the joint procedure.

In respect of the “made affirmative” procedure, our view is that in principle this should be available to Welsh Ministers and the Assembly, to match the flexibility available to UK Ministers.

Finally, our officials have also been discussing the correction of deficiencies in the Government of Wales Act 2006. I welcome the commitment to use Orders in Council to make correcting amendments which fall outside competence. I have considered the various means by which the small number of deficiencies which are within our competence might be corrected, and I believe the best solution in this case would be for the corrections to be made by the Withdrawal Bill. I have asked my officials to work with yours to develop the necessary amendments.

I am copying this to the Presiding Officer, the Chair of the Assembly’s External Affairs and Additional Legislation Committee, the Chair of the Assembly’s Constitutional and Legislative Affairs Committee and to the Chair of the Welsh Affairs Committee in Parliament.

Yours sincerely

CARWYN JONES